

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/730,977	12/10/2003	Ki-Sul Cho	0630-1839P	5104
2292 7	590 10/04/2005		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEV PO BOX 747	WART KOLASCH &	NGUYEN, DUNG T		
	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2871	

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		·	AN
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
	10/730,977	CHO, KI-SUL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
·	Dung Nguyen	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add:	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this con (C) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25.	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) □ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-15,19-21,24 and 3 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-8,16-18,22 and 23 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by	25 is/are withdrawn from consideration or election requirement. Therefore the description of the description is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the ection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be objected to by the ection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be objected to be objected to by the ection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be o	Examiner. e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	.xammor. Note the didented office	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	7 102.
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat Ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	152)

Application/Control Number: 10/730,977 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election with traverse of species (a) (claims 1-8, 16-18 and 22-23) in the reply filed on 07/25/2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that Applicant believed that the examination of all pending claims would not place serious burden on the Examiner (Election, page 2). This is not found persuasive because Applicant has based the argument on his own "serious burden" and not the definition provided in the MPEP, section 803. The Examiner has demonstrated a "serious burden" by showing two separate species. In order to for the Applicant to present a cogent argument relative to there being no showing of a "serious burden", he must demonstrate that the Examiner's separate species is in error.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 16, 18 and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kim, US Patent No. 6,882,375.

The above claims are anticipated by Kim's figures 8-10 and accompanying text which discloses a liquid crystal display (LCD) device and a method of forming the same comprising:

- a plurality of gate lines (22), each having a repair pattern (25);
- . a plurality of data lines (62);

Application/Control Number: 10/730,977

Art Unit: 2871

. a pixel electrode (82);

. a thin film transfer (42);

. a storage line (28).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim, US Patent No. 6,882,375.

Regarding claims 4 and 17, Kim discloses the claimed invention as described above except for the repair pattern including protrusions extending in opposite directions from the gate line, so as to be formed under two adjacent pixel electrode. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ the Kim's repair pattern extending in opposite directions under two adjacent pixel electrodes, since the Examiner takes Office Notice of the equivalence of the Kim's repair pattern and the modification to Kim's repair pattern for their use in the LCD art and the selection of any of these known equivalents for the purposes of repairing a display defect would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/730,977

Art Unit: 2871

Conclusion

Page 4

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2297. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DN 09/30/2005

Dung Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2871